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In providing a treatment of the Ranunculaceae for the forthcoming Vascular Flora of the Southeastern United States, a number of nomenclatural and taxonomic decisions were made which demaind additional clarification. Throughout, this taxonomic treatment of Ranunculus is deliberately conservative and I have attempted specially to note those problem species deserving a thorough biosystematic study.

The cosmopolitan and heterogeneous genus Ranunculus is distinguished from other Ranunculaceae by its ensemble of alternate or basal leaves, non-spurred sepals, basally nectariferous petals, and achenes borne on relatively short fruiting receptacles. Within the southeastern United States, an area bounded by and including Louisiana, Arkansas, Kentucky, West Virginia, Maryland, and Delaware, I am recognizing 32 species of Ranunculus, as contrasted with over 400 species in the world (Buchheim, 1964) and 98 species for North America (Benson, 1948, 1954).

Aside from the earlier taxonomic treatments of the North American Ranunculi by Gray (1886) and Davis (1900), the only recent comprehensive treatment is by Benson who in a series of papers beginning in 1934, culminated his studies with a definitive treatment in 1948, followed by supplementary notes in 1954. Benson's work, largely followed in this paper, reflects mature scholarship and students of the North American Ranunculi will always be in his debt for his having so carefully laid the groundwork with respect to future biosystematic studies. (For an important recent taxonomic treatment of Ranunculus in Europe, including much pertinent biological information and a comprehensive list of references, see Dambolt, 1974). Nevertheless, with respect to the species in the southeastern United States, critical biosystematic studies (comparable to those by Harper, 1957; Fisher, 1965; Fisher et al., 1973) are needed in the $R$. abortivus complex (now underway at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill), the $R$.

[^0]SIDA 6(4): 266-283. 1976.
septentrionalis-R. hispidus group (currently being worked on at the University of Michigan), and the $R$. pusillus complex. Relative variation of $R$. acris, R. buibosus, R. ficaria, and $R$. repens in North America should be studied, especially in comparison with populations of these species in Europe. Furthermore, chromosome counts for fourteen species (44 percent) have yet to be published (Table I).

Table I. List of Species for Which Chromosome Counts are Needed.

| R. allegheniensis | R. longirostris |
| :--- | :--- |
| R. ambigens | R. marginatus |
| R. carolinianus | R. micranthus |
| R. flabellaris | R. pensylvanicus |
| R. harveyi | R. platensis |
| R. hispidus | R. pusillus |
| R. laxicaulis | R. subcordatus |

Although it is not the purpose of this paper to analyze the variously proposed subgeneric groupings of this genus, one should note the wide divergence of opinion regarding a broad taxonomic treatment of the buttercups and water crowfoots. For example, Benson (1940) classified all North American species under the genus Ranunculus sensu lato which in turn was divided into nine subgenera, whereas Tamura (1967) divided Ranunculus into 15 different genera. However, in the opinion of Davis (1960) and Cook (1966) there is yet no satisfactory classification of Ranunculus. Therefore, in the following treatment, informal groups are adopted (which largely conform to various subgeneric divisions recognized by Benson, 1940; also cf. Davis, 1965) to facilitate determining unknown specimens to their proper species. It must be emphasized that Ranunculus is a critical genus and that in collecting plants one should obtain basal portions (including roots), mature fruit and flowers, together with notes on the habitat.

## KEY TO GROUPS OF SPECIES

1. Sepals (3-)5(-6); petals typically 5; achenes smooth to spiny or pubescent, usually with well-developed beaks; leaves seldom entire-cordate.
2. Petals usually glossy, yellow; achenes usually not transverse-ridged; terrestrial and aquatic herbs (subgen. Ranunculus).
3. Leaves (especially the lower cauline) variously lobed to divided or compound.
4. Basal leaves rarely deeply lobed, distinctly unlike the deeply parted cauline leaves; achenes turgid, ovoid, $1-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, without pronounced marginal rims

GROUP 1.
4. Basal leaves mostly deeply parted or compound, usually similar to the smaller cauline leaves; achenes various, $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
5. Achenes markedly spiny, papillose or tuberculate . . GROUP 2. 5 . Achenes smooth or rarely pubescent or papillose.
6. Achenes usually turgid, the marginal rims scarcely evident, corky-thickened below; marsh or aquatic plants . . GROUP 3.
6. Achenes usually flattened, discoid, usually with pronounced marginal rims, not corky-thickened below; usually terrestrial plants
3. Leaves all simple, entire to denticulate or serrulate, not lobed or deeply divided
7. Pericarps smooth to verrucose, thick and firm; lower leaves linear to cordate-ovate; plants rarely stoloniferous

GROUP 5.
7. Pericarps longitudinally striate, facially 3 or more nerved, thin and fragile; leaves cordate-ovate to ovate; plants stoloniferous

GROUP 6.
2. Petals dull, white; achenes roughly transverse-ridged; aquatics with finely dissected to shallowly lobed leaves [subgen. Batrachium (DC.) A. Gray]

GROUP 7.

1. Sepals $3(-4)$; petals $7-12$; achenes pubescent, beakless; leaves cordate [subgen. Ficaria (Huds.) L. Benson] . . . . . . . . . . GROUP 8.

## GROUP 1

1. Petals less than 3.5 mm long, equal to or shorter than the sepals; roots generally all filiform to some slightly fusiform-thickened.
2. Achene beaks $0.1-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; petals longer than $1 / 2$ length of the sepals; sepals glabrous to sparsely long-villous.
3. Plants usually glabrous; basal leaves $1-6(10) \mathrm{cm}$ wide, reniform to cordate; roots usually all filiform; receptacles usually villous
4. R. ABORTIVUS.
5. Plants villous, at least basally; basal leaves $1-2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ wide, proximally truncate to cuneate (rarely cordate); some roots slightly fusiformthickened; receptacles usually glabrous . . . 2. R. MICRANTHUS.
6. Achene beaks $0.6-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; petals less than $1 / 2$ length of the sepals; sepals hirsute . . . . . . . . . . . . 3. R. ALLEGHENIENSIS.
7. Petals $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, distinctly longer than the sepals; some roots markedly fusiform-thickened.
8. R. HARVEYI.

## GROUP 2

1. Flowers pedunculate, the peduncles usually elongating in fruit; sepals and petals usually 5.
2. Petals $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; mature achenes papillate, each papilla with a slender hooked spine; receptacles glabrous.
3. R. PRAVIFLORUS.
4. Petals 4 mm or more long; mature achenes simply papillate to muricate or with straight to curved (not hooked) spines; receptacles pubescent.
5. Achenes papillate or tuberculate (or often $\pm$ smooth), the bodies less
than 3 mm long; achene beaks usually less than 0.5 mm long.
6. Achene discs sparsely papillate to smooth; petals greater than 5 mm long; plants more or less hirsute . . . . . 6. R. SARDOUS.
7. Achene discs with numerous small tubercles; petals less than 5 mm long; plants with a few scattered villous hairs . 7. R. TRILOBUS.
8. Achenes conspicuously tuberculate or muricate to stout spiny, the bodies greater than 3 mm long; achene beaks at least (0.75) 1 mm long.
9. Achenes usually 10 or more, not in a single whorl; achene discs tuberculate to spiny, the margins smooth; largest leaves simple, broadly cordate to suborbicular and more or less $3-5$ parted, the segments crenately lobed or toothed.
10. Achene discs stout spiny or occasionally muricate; achene beaks at least 1.5 mm long; peduncles usually shorter than the subtendtending leaf; plants subglabrate . . . . . 8. R. MURICATUS.
11. Achene discs tuberculate to muricate; achene beaks ca. 1 mm
long; peduncles usually longer than the subtending leaf; plants sparsely hispid . . . . . . . . . . . 9. R. MARGINATUS. 5. Achenes 9 or less, in a single whorl; achene discs and margins tuberculate to long spiny; largest leaves compound, the ultimate segments linear to obovate 10. R. ARVENSIS.
12. Flowers sessile, axillary; sepals and petals 3 . . . 11. R. PLATENSIS.

## GROUP 3

1. Petals $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; achenes essentially beakless ( 0.1 mm long); plants terrestrial to palustrine, without submersed leaves
2. R. SCELERATUS.
3. Petals $7-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; achene beaks well-developed ( $0.6-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long); plants aquatic with finely dissected submersed leaves
4. R. FLABELLARIS.

## GROUP 4

1. Petals small ( $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long), about equalling the sepals.
2. Achene beaks markedly recurved; largest leaves merely deeply dissected; head of achenes globose . . . . . . 14. R. RECURVATUS.
3. Achene beaks straight to slightly curved; largest leaves ternately compound; head of achenes elongated, cylindrical.
4. Sepals often 2 times as long as the petals; stems erect, not rooting at the lower nodes; achene beaks $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
5. R. PENSYLVANICUS.
6. Sepals equal to or slightly shorter than the petals; stems ascending to trailing, frequently rooting at the lower nodes; achene beaks 1-1.5 mm long . . . . . . . . . . . . . 16. R. MACOUNII.
7. Petals large ( $5-18 \mathrm{~mm}$ long), distinctly longer than the sepals.
8. Achene beaks recurved or hooked, usually less than 1.5 mm long, stigmatose laterally.
9. Sepals spreading.
10. Stems repent, rooting at the lower nodes; basal leaves ternately compound; receptacles hispid . . . . . . . . 17. R. REPENS.
11. Stems erect, never rooting; basal leaves deeply 5 -parted; receptacles glabrous . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 18. R. ACRIS.
12. Sepals tightly reflexed.
13. Plants perennial, cormose; petals $8-14 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; achenes smooth
14. R. BULBOSUS.
15. Plants annual, soft-based; petals $5-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; achenes smooth to tuberculate
16. R. SARDOUS.
17. Achene beaks straight to flexuous, (1) $1.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, stigmatose apically.
18. Plants slender, erect, never stoloniferous, the roots $\pm$ fleshythickened; basal leaves both simple and compound, their stipules usually gradually tapering apically; mature achene bodies $1.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
9 . Roots $\pm$ uniform; later basal leaves generally cordate-ovate in outline, often broader than long, usually ternately lobed or divided; stem pubescence hispid, spreading to appressed 20 . R. HISPIDUS.
19. Roots both long-filiform and relatively short-tuberous ( $1-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick); later basal leaves generally ovate-oblong in outline, often longer than broad, usually pinnately divided; stem pubescence silky-appressed . . . . . . . . . . . 21. R. FASCICULARIS.
20. Plants lax, often stoloniferous, the roots coarse-fibrous; basal leaves
usually all ternately compound, their stipules often broad, abruptly rounded or truncate apically; mature achene bodies $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
21. Achenes $15-30$, not broadly $(0-0.3 \mathrm{~mm})$ winged; petals roundedobovate, $4-8$ (13) mm wide; sepals spreading, longer than $2 / 3$ length of petals; stems $2-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, usually spreadinghispid
22. R. SEPTENTRIONALIS
23. Achenes $7-15$, broadly ( $0.5-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) wing-keeled; petals oblong, $2-5$ (8) mm wide; sepals usually reflexed, about $1 / 2$ length of petals; stems $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, subglabrous to appressed- or spreading-hispid.
24. R. CAROLINIANUS.

GROUP 5

1. Petals 1-3, about equalling the sepals; annuals.
2. R. PUSILLUS.
3. Petals (4)5-9, distinctly longer than the sepals; annuals or perennials.
4. Largest cauline leaves lanceolate to oblong-ovate; achene faces smooth to finely reticulate-pitted.
5. Plants annuals with basal leaves; achenes ca. 0.6 mm broad, their beaks $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; sepals $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; blades of largest leaves usually less than 6 cm long. . . . . . 25. R.LAXICAULIS.
6. Plants perennials with no basal leaves; achenes ca. 1.4 mm broad, their beaks $0.5-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; sepals ca. 4 mm long; blades of largest leaves at least 6 cm long. . . . . . . . . 26. R. AMBIGENS.
7. Largest cauline leaves cordate to subcordate; achene faces verrucose. 27. R. SUBCORDATUS.

GROUP 6
One species
28. R. CYMBALARIA.

## GROUP 7

1. Leaves floating, shallowly lobed; receptacles glabrous.
2. R. HEDERACEUS.
3. Leaves usually submersed, finely dissected; receptacles hispid.
4. Leaves about as long as the adjacent internode, usually flaccid and generally collapsing when removed from the water; free petioles usually as long as the dilated stipular base; achene beaks absent or shorter than 0.3 mm long.
5. R. TRICHOPHYLLUS.
6. Leaves usually much shorter than the adjacent internode, firm, not collapsing when removed from the water; free petioles absent or at least much shorter than the dilated stipular base; achene beaks $0.7-1.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.
7. R. LONGIROSTRIS.

## GROUP 8

One species
32. R. FICARIA.

## GROUP 1

1. R. ABORTIVUS L., Small-flowered Crowfoot

Rich low woods, low fields and moist waste places; all prov. SE. [ALL]. Incl. R. a. var. indivisus Fern.-Fernald (1950), a form with undivided cauline leaves.

Ranunculus abortivus, the most widespread and common species within a complex of four apparently closely related species (nos. 1-4), is quite variable leading Fernald $(1899,1938)$ to describe several varieties. Only
one of these varieties (var. indivisus) occurs within the southeastern United States; it is restricted to the Nottoway River system in southeastern Virginia (Fernald, 1938). Although I am regarding var. indivisus of doubtful status (cf. Benson, 1948: a "rather questionable variety"), mass collections (cf. Fassett, 1942; note that Fassett did not sample populations of R. abortivus within Virginia) of this species within the southeastern United States would be desirable.

## 2. R. MICRANTHUS Nuttall

Rich woods, rocky hillsides, and calcareous banks; chiefly pied. and mts. Ark., Ky., Md., N.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [ALL except Tex.]. Incl. R. m. var. delitescens (Greene) Fern.-Fernald (1950), a pale green form with the simple basal leaves having relatively fewer teeth and cuneate to truncate bases.

Fernald (1939) recognized three varieties, one [var. cymbalistes (Greene) Fern.] restricted to Indiana, the other two widely ranging in the eastern United States. The two eastern varieties are distinguished chiefly by leaf shape and texture-var. delitescens (Greene) Fern. with pale green dull foliage and proximally subtruncate to cuneate basal leaves and var. micranthus with darker green lustrous foliage and subcordate to cordate basal leaves. There does not seem to be any marked geographic pattern conformable to these two morphs, however, and I am following Benson (1948) in treating R. micranthus as one polymorphic species, although Fassett (1942) suggested that mass collections throughout the range might throw light on the validity of segregating any geographically-based or ecotypic varieties.

## 3. R. ALLEGHENIENSIS Britton

Rich woods and calcareous slopes; chiefly mts. Md., N.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [Ohio, Pa.].

## 4. R. HARVEYI (Gray) Britton

Low woods, bluffs and ravines, rare; all prov. Ala., Ark., Tenn. [Okla., Mo., Ill.].
This is a distinct and relatively invariant species with a limited range in south-central United States. Occasional forms are strongly pilose which have been segregated as var. pilosus Benke (1928). Field studies should be pursued to establish population variability especially in view of assessing any geographic correlation with the degree of pubescence.

## GROUP 2

This Group (nos. 5-11; = section Echinella DC.-Benson, 1948) are naturalized annuals native to Europe, western Asia, and South America ( $R$. platensis). They are characterized principally by their more or less discoid achenes facially covered with papillae, tubercles, straight to curved spines,
or slender hooks. Several of these weedy introductions are rare (R. marginatus, R. platensis, R. trilobus) whereas two species especially ( $R$. parviflorus, $R$. sardous) are widespread and relatively common weeds of fields and waste places.

## 5. R. PARVIFLORUS L.

Fie'ds and waste places; chiefly cp. and pied. SE except Del. and W.Va. [Tex., Okla., Mo.].
For a detailed study of the morphology and ecology of this species in Europe see Salisbury (1931).

## 6. R. SARDOUS Crantz

Low fie'ds and waste places; chiefly cp. and pied. Ala., Ark., Ga., Ky., La., Miss., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va. [Mo., Ill., Pa., N.J.]. R. parvulus L.Small (1933).

Ranunculus sardous can be confused with $R$. bulbosus, but the former species is distinguished by its annual habit, soft-based noncormose stems, smaller flowers, and typically papillose or tuberculate achene dises (faces).
7. R. TRILOBUS Desf.

Low clearings and roadsides, very rare; cp. Fla., La.
This species was first reported for the United States by Shinners (1960) who collected it in April, 1960, in Louisiana; it has since been collected in Florida by R. K. Godfrey (FSU!).

## 8. R. MURICATUS L.

Low meadows, ditches and stream banks; cp., rarely pied. Ala., Ark., La., Miss., S.C. [Tex.].

## 9. R. MARGINATUS d'Urv.

Roadside ditches, very rare; cp. La.
This is another species first reported for the United Siates by Shinners (1962). Superficially it resembles the more common $R$. muricatus but $R$. marginatus has a more pubescent habit, tuberculate or muricate achene discs and shorter achene beaks (cf. key to Group 2, above). In his report, Shinners (1962) determined his material as $R$. trachycarpus, but in this paper I am following Tutin (1964) and Davis (1965) in determining our material as $R$. marginatus although specimens with tuberculate or muricate achene discs can be distinguished as variety trachycarpus (Fisch. \& Meyer) Azn.

## 10. R. ARVENSIS L.

Fields and waste ground, uncommon; chiefly cp. and pied. Ga., Miss., N.C., S.C. [Mo., Ohio, N.J.]. Incl. var. tuberculatus (DC.) Koch—Radford (1968).

The sculpturing of the achenes in this species is quite variable which has led to the segregation of a number of varieties by earlier European workers (Dambolt, 1974). Inasmuch as single populations often are polymorphic (Davis, 1965), I am not giving formal recognition to the major variants in our flora (cf. Ahles et al., 1958), a practice currently followed by Tutin (1964), Davis (1965), and Dambolt (1974).
11. R. PLATENSIS Sprengel

Sandy clearings along streams, very rare; cp. Fla., La. [Tex.].
GROUP 3

## 12. R. SCELERATUS L.

Marshes, wet ditches, lake and stream banks; cp. and pied. SE except Ark. [ALL].
13. R. FLABELLARIS Raf., Yellow Water Crowfoot

Shallow water and muddy shores, rare; chiefly cp. Ala., Ark. cp and mts., Del., La., N.C., Va. [ALL except Tex.]. R. delphinifolius Torrey-Small (1933).

Heterophylly in this species is apparently controlled by temperature, photoperiod, and whether the leaf primordia developed in a submerged or terrestrial environment (Bostrack and Millington, 1962).

## GROUP 4

## 14. R. RECURVATUS Poiret, Hcoked Buttercup

Rich low woods; all prov. SE. [ALL]. Incl. R. r. var. adpressipilis Weath.-Fernald (1950), a form with scattered, closely adpressed cauline hairs.

The pubescence of this species is variable (lower stems $\pm$ glabrous; stems with strongly villous 2-3 celled hairs; stems with unicellular appressed hairs) leading Weatherby (1929) to describe several infraspecific taxa. Population studies assessing the degree of polymorphism would be desirable, and pending such work I consider Weatherby's taxa of doubtful taxonomic significance.

## 15. R. PENSYLVANICUS L.f., Bristly Crowfoot

Marshes, wet woods and meadows, rare; cp. Del. [ALL except Tex., Okla., Mo.].

## 16. R. MACOUNII Britton

Marshes, very rare; mts. Pendleton Co., W.Va. A northern species, widely disjunct in our area (see Hutton, 1971).
17. R. REPENS L., Creeping Buttercup, Swamp Buttercup

Low meadows, stream banks, waste places; all prov. Del., Ky., Md., N.C.,
S.C., Tenn., Va., W. Va. [ALL except Okla.] Incl. R. r. var. pleniflorus Fern.-Fernald (1950). A variable species; double-flowered forms (var. pleniflorus) frequently escape from cultivation.

Ranunculus repens and its allies (nos. 17, 18, 19) are European introductions now widely scattered in North America. The demography and floral biology of all three species have been thoroughly studied in England by John Harper and his associates (see especially Harper, 1957; Sarukhán and Harper, 1973) and smiliar studies on North American populations would be desirable especially from a comparative evolutionary standpoint.

The swamp buttercup is very variable, a fact leading Fernald (1919) to recognize six varieties. At present, I am following European workers (Tutin, 1964; Davis, 1965; Dambolt, 1974) who regard $R$. repens as one polymorphic species, although I agree with Tutin (1964) that it is "much in need of detailed investigation."

## 18. R. ACRIS L., Tall Buttercup

Pastures, meadows, clearings and waste places; all prov. Del., Ga. pied., Md. cp., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [ALL except Tex. and Okla.].

Ranunculus acris is an exceedingly variable complex in Europe and Asia (Hara and Kurosawa, 1956; Tutin, 1964; Coles, 1971), varying chiefly with regard to overall height, type of rootstock, leaves (shape, lobing, texture), pubescence (stems, leaves, filaments), number of flowers, and achenes (size, shape, length of beak) (Tutin, 1964). On the other hand, students of North American Ranunculi (e.g. Benson, 1948) generally regard the plants of eastern North America as one taxon. Comparative studies (such as those by Coles, 1971) of scattered populations in North America would be most useful, especially in view of the considerable variability of the Old World material.

## 19. R. BULBOSUS L., Bulbous Buttercup

Fields, roadsides, and pastures; all prov. Ala., Ark., Del., Ga., La., Md., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [ALL except Tex. and Okla.]. Incl. R. b. var. valdepubens (Jordan) Briquet, R. b. var. dissectus Bailey-Fernald (1950).

Ranunculus bulbosus is another variable species native to the Old World and which over the years has been subjected to excessive splitting into species and infraspecific taxa (Coles, 1973). For example, in the Flora Europaea, Tutin (1964) recognized 6 subspecies based on variations in the leaves, corms, roots, plant heights, and pubescence. However, Coles (1973) has recently studied the R. bulbosus complex in Europe and she concluded there are only two subspecies: subsp. bulbosus with well-formed corms, thin roots, compound basal leaves with stalked terminal segments and fine petiolar hairs and subsp. adscendens (Brot.) Neves, with scarcely cormose rootstocks, tuberous roots, simple basal leaves, and coarse petiolar hairs.

Within the southeastern United States, such a division does not appear to be correlated with either geography or ecology and therefore I am following Benson (1948) in recognizing $R$. bulbosus as a single variable species, although thorough field studies may lead to a revision of this interpretation.

The $R$. septentrionalis $-R$. hispidus complex (nos. $20-23$ ) is an extremely critical group (cf. Benson, 1962). Within the southeastern United States there are four polymorphic species in this complex plus a number of segregate infraspecific taxa (Benson, 1948). With adequate material, the species usually can be distinguished (Table II), although intergradient forms occur. In collecting specimens, special care should be exercised in securing mature plants with flowers and fruits, basal leaves, roots, and any stoloniferous branches (in nos. 22, 23).

## 20. R. HISPIDUS Michaux, Hispid Buttercup

Dry rocky to rich moist woods; all prov. Ala., Ark., Ga., Ky., Md., Miss., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [ALL]. Incl. R. h. var. falsus Fern.-Fernald (1950); R. h. var. marilandicus (Poiret) Benson-Gleason and Cronquist (1963); R. h. var. eurylobus Benson-Fernald (1950), Gleason and Cronquist (1963). A highly variable species in need of critical study.

According to Benson (1948) there are four varieties of $R$. hispidus (for photographs of all four varieties, see Benson, 1962, Figs. 2-15, 16), based chiefly on variations in pubescence, basal leaf shape, size, and division, and flowering period. Inasmuch as the geographic ranges considerably overlap (Benson, 1962, Fig. 2-17) and because the ecological requirements as well as the population variability of these infraspecific segregates are not sufficiently well understood at the present time, I am regarding $R$. hispidus as a single polymorphic species.

## 21. R. FASCICULARIS Muhl. ex Bigel.

Prairies, thin dry woods and exposed calcareous slopes and ledges; chiefly cp. and pied. Ala., Ark., Ky., La., Md. mts., Miss., S.C., Tenn., Va. [ALL except N.J.]. Incl. R. f. var apricus (Greene) Fern.-Fernald (1950), a form with less divided leaves.

Greene (1900) described the smaller and simpler-leaved plants of southcentral United States as R. apricus, later reduced by Fernald (1936) to a variety under $R$. fascicularis. Although this segregate might well be given taxonomic recognition, because of numerous intermediates I prefer to regard the southern form as an extreme variant within an apparent ecocline ranging throughout eastern North America.

## 22. R. SEPTENTRIONALIS Poiret, Northern Swamp Buttercup

Low woods, marshes, meadows and alluvial thickets; all prov. Ark., Ga., Ky., Md., N.C. mts., Tenn. mts., Va., W.Va. [ALL except Tex. and Okla.].

Table II. Comparison of Species in the R. septentrionalis-R. bispidus Complex*

| Characteristic | R. septentrionalis | R. carolinianus | R. bispidus | R. fascicularis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height (dm) | 2-6 | 1.5-6 | 1-4 | 1-3 |
| Stoloniferous | + | + | - | - |
| Stem pubescence | $\pm$ Spreading-hispid | Subglabrous to spreading-hispid | Hispid | Appressed-hispid or pilose |
| Roots | Fibrous | Fibrous | $\pm$ Fleshy | Filiform and tuberous |
| Basal leaves | All compound | (Simple) Compound | Simple and compound | Simple and compound |
| Later basal leaves | Ternate | Ternate | Ternately lobed or divided | Pinnately divided |
| Stipular leaf bases | Broad, apically truncate to rounded |  | Long, narrow, apically $\pm$ tapering |  |
| Sepals: |  |  |  |  |
| habit | Spreading | Reflexed | Spreading | Spreading |
| length (mm) | 6-11 | 3.5-5(7) | 4-6 | 6-8 |
| Petals: |  |  |  |  |
| shape <br> length (mm) | Rounded-obovate $8-12$ | Oblong | Obovate to oblong $9-13$ | Linear-oblong to obovate 7-15 |
| Carpel number | 15-30 | 7-15 | 12-30 | 10-30 |
| Achene margins | Keeled | Winged (wings ca. 1 mm high) | Narrowly keeled | Keeled |
| Chromosome |  |  |  |  |
| Habitat | Low woods, marshes, meadows and alluvial thickets | Low woods, thickets, marshes | Dry rocky ground, rich moist woods | Thin dry woods, exposed calcareous ledges |
| Range | N.D. to Lab., s. to Ga., Tenn., and Ark. | Minn. to Pa., s. to n. Fla., and w. to Tex. | S.D. and Neb. to Mass., s. to Ga., Ala. and Ark. | Minn. to Mass., s. to S.C., Ala., Miss., La., and Tex. |

[^1]Incl. R. s. var. caricetorum (Greene) Fern.-Fernald (1950), a form with the petioles and lower internodes densely retrorsely-hispid; incl. R. carolinianus DC.-sensu Radford (1698), pro parte.

The form with densely retrorsely-hispid petioles is not associated with other characters (Benson, 1948) and does not appear to warrant taxonomic recognition.

## 23. R. CAROLINIANUS DC.

Low woods, thickets and marshes; all prov. Ala., Ark., Fla., Ga., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [Tex., Okla., Mo., Ill., Pa.]. R. septentrionalis Poiret var. pterocarpus Benson-Gleason and Cronquist (1963); R. palmatus sensu Small, non Ell.-Small (1933); R. carolinianus DC.-sensu Radford (1968), pro parte.

Some authors (e.g., Radford, 1968) lump R. septentrionalis and R. carolinianus or else regard $R$. carolinianus as a variety (var. pterocarpus Benson) of $R$. septentrionalis (e.g. Gleason and Cronquist, 1963). Pending additional study I am presently following Benson (1948) in recognizing R. carolinianus as a distinct species. Nevertheless, it is not always clearly distinct from $R$. septentrionalis and collectors should take special care to secure specimens with mature achenes.

## GROUP 5

Plants of the R. pusillus-R. ambigens group [section Flammula (Webb) Ruoy \& Faucaud] generally occur in low wet areas. As a group, these species are distinguished by their 5 sepals, variable number of yellow petals, simple entire to denticulate or serrulate leaves, and smooth to papillose or verrucose achenes. Although the species can usually be separated (Table III), several species are quite polymorphic and the group as a whole deserves a careful population and experimental taxonomic study, including chromosome counts which are lacking for all four species. The nomenclature in this complex is confused, and I have tried to rectify matters in Table IV.

## 24. R. PUSILLUS Poiret

Low wet grounds and shallow pools; chiefly cp. and pied. SE. [ALL]. Incl. R. lindheimeri Engelm., R. tener Mohr-Small (1933).

The widespread R. pusillus is quite variable, leading Small (1933) to recognize three species, differentiated chiefly by the shape of the fruiting heads (globose to cylindrical) and achene texture (smooth to papillose). It appears that within the southeastern United States particularly in the western portion of the range (cf. Table III), the fruiting heads tend to become elongated and the achenes papillose $[=R$. tener Mohr; var. angustifolius (Engelm.) Benson]. However, until field studies are undertaken to analyze the variability of local populations as well as possible relative geo-

Table III. Comparison of Species in the R. ambigens-R. pusillus Complex*

| Characteristic | R. ambigens | R. laxicaulis | R. pusillus | R. subcordatus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Habit | Perennial | Annual | Annual | Perennial |
| Basal leaves | Absent | Present | Present | Absent |
| Cauline leaves: shape | $\pm$ Lanceolate | Lance-ovate to oblong | Lance-ovate to suborbicular | $\pm$ Cordate |
| margin | Usually denticulate | $\pm$ Entire | Entire to denticulate | Entire to denticulate |
| length (cm) | 6-14 | 1-6 | 1-S | 1-3.5 |
| Sepal length (mm) | 4 | 1.5-2.5 | 1-2 | 1.9-2.2 |
| Petals: |  |  |  |  |
| number | s(-6) | 5(-10) | 1-3 | 4-8 |
| length (mm) | S-7 | 3-4(9) | 1-1.5 | 3-4 |
| Achenes: |  |  |  |  |
| body length (mm) | 2 | 0.6-0.7 | 1 | 1 |
| beak length (mm) | 1-1.3 | 0.1-0.2 | 0.1-0.2 | 0.1-0.2 |
| texture of disc | Smooth or finely reticulate | Smooth | Smooth to papillate | Verrucose |
| Range | Minn. to Maine, s. to S.C., Tenn., and La. | Kans. to Ind. and Del., s. to S.C. Tenn., Ark. and Tex. | Mo. to N.Y., s. to Fla. and Tex.; disjunct in Calif. | Rare, N.C. |

[^2]Table IV. Nomenclature of R. ambigens, R. laxicaulis, and R. pusillus

| Benson (1948, 1954) | Radford (1968) | Fernald (1950) | Gleason and | Cronquist | (1963) | Small (1933) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. R. ambigens Wats. | R. ambigens | R. ambigens | R. ambigens |  |  | R. obtusiusculus Raf. |
| 2. R. laxicaulis (T. \& G.) Darby | R. laxicaulis | R. laxicaulis | R. texensis Engelm. |  |  | R. mississippiensis Small |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | R. oblongifolius sensu Small, non Elliott |
| 3. R. pusillus Poiret |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. var. pusillus | R. pusillus | R. pusillus | R. pusillus |  |  | R. pusillus <br> R. lindheimeri Engelm. |
| b. var. angustifolius (Engelm.) Benson |  |  |  |  |  | R. tener Mohr |

graphic discontinuities, I prefer to regard R. pusillus as a single widespread polymorphic species.

## 25. R. LAXICAULIS (Torrey \& Gray) Darby

Marshes and ditches; chiefly cp. Ark., Del., La., Md., S.C., Tenn., Va. [Tex., Okla., Mo., Ill., Ind.]. R. oblongifolius sensu Small, non Ell.-Small (1933); R. texensis Engelm.-Gleason and Cronquist (1963); incl. R. mississippiensis Small-Small (1933).

## 26. R. AMBIGENS Watson

Low wet grounds and shores; chiefly cp. and pied. Ala., Del., La., Md., N.C., S.C., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [Ohio, Pa., N.J.]. R. obtusiusculus Raf.Small (1933).
27. R. SUBCORDATUS E. O. Beal

Marshy shores and wooded streams, rare; cp. N.C.
Beal (1971) recently described this species from the Coastal Plain of North Carolina. It appears to be closely related to $R$. bonariensis Poiret of South America (Beal, 1971), but is relatively distinct from other species in the $R$. pusillus - R. ambigens group (nos. 24-26). R. subcordatus is known only from Bladen and Halifax Counties, North Carolina, and collectors are advised to look for it elsewhere in the Coastal Plain of the southeastern United States.

## GROUP 6

28. R. CYMBALARIA Pursh, Seaside Crowfoot

Marshes and muddy shores, rare; cp. Ark. [Tex., Okla., Mo., Ill., N.J.].

## GROUP 7

The batrachian Ranunculi (nos. 29-31 in this treatment) have long been considered a difficult group, doubtless due to their marked phenotypic plasticity. Nevertheless, a number of revisions have been attempted (e.g. Drew, 1936; Benson, 1948), the most recent by Cook (1966) whose treatment I am following with respect to the taxonomy of the Batrachia of the southeastern United States. I am also following Cook $(1963,1966)$ in regarding the batrachian Ranunculi as a subgenus of Ranunculus, although the group is often treated as a separate genus (e.g. Small, 1933; Tamura, 1967). As Cook $(1963,1966)$ points out, however, Batrachium cannot be separated from Ranunculus by any single character and therefore he argued for its retention within Ranunculus. The batrachian Ranunculi typically are aquatics with laminate or capillary leaves, white petals and transversely-ridged achenes.

## 29. R. HEDERACEUS L.

Fresh water aquatic; cp., rarely farther inland. Md., N.C., S.C., Va. [Pa.].

## 30. R. TRICHOPHYLLUS Chaix, White Water Crowfoot

Fresh water aquatic, rare; mts. Ky., W.Va. [Pa., N.J.]. Batrachium flaccidum (Persoon) Rupr.-Small (1933); R. aquatilis L. var. capillaceus (Thuillier) DC.-Gleason and Cronquist (1963).

## GROUP 8

## 31. R. LONGIROSTRIS Godron

Aquatic in sluggish fresh water, rare. Ala. cp., Del. cp., Va. pied. [ALL]. Batrachium trichophyllum sensu Small, non Chaix-Small (1933).

## 32. R. FICARIA L., Lesser Celandine

Cultivated plant, occasionally escaped to open woods and waste places, rare; cp. Md., Tenn., Va., W.Va. [Pa., N.J.].
Ranunculus ficaria is a polymorphic and cytologically complex species (Greene and Thomas, 1961; Gill et al., 1972; Marchant and Brighton, 1974) with a number of unusual features (cordate leaves, 3 sepals, $7-21$ yellow petals, pubescent beakless achenes and markedly unequal cotyledons) leading some taxonomists (e.g. Tamura, 1967) to segregate these plants as a separate genus. There can be no doubt that Ranunculus s.1. should be thoroughly revised and the species reclassified (cf. Davis, 1965; Cook, 1966), but pending such work, I prefer to regard $R$. ficara as a species in Ranunculus.
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